1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	LIMITED CTATEC	DISTRICT COLIDT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CITY OF WESTLAND POLICE AND FIRE) RETIREMENT SYSTEM and PLYMOUTH)	No. C 07-05111-CW
12	COUNTY RETIREMENT SYSTEM, On) Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly)	CLASS ACTION
13	Situated,)	ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF SETTLEMENT
14	Plaintiffs,)	PROCEEDS
15	VS.)	DATE: April 8, 2010 TIME: 2:00 p.m.
16	SONIC SOLUTIONS, et al.,	COURTROOM: The Honorable Claudia Wilken
17	Defendants.)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Lead Plaintiffs' application for approval of the Plan of Allocation of the net settlement proceeds in the above-captioned action; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of October 12, 2009 (the "Stipulation").
- 2. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all Persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to all Persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.
- 3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants which is set forth in the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action (the "Notice") sent to Settlement Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation among Settlement Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.
- 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice is in all respects fair and reasonable and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

4/8/10

DATED:

THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Ladialeil

25

28

- 1		
1	Respectfully submitted,	
2	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP	
3	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS CHRISTOPHER M. WOOD	
4	100 Pine Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
5	Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)	
6	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER	
7	RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP	
8	JOY ANN BULL	
9		
	s/ Joy Ann Bull	
10	JOY ANN BULL	
11	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900	
12	San Diego, CA 92101-3301 Telephone: 619/231-1058	
13	619/231-7423 (fax)	
14	LABATON SUCHAROW LLP CHRISTOPHER J. KELLER	
15	JONATHAN GARDNER 140 Broadway, 34th Floor	
16	New York, NY 10005 Telephone: 212/907-0700	
17	212/818-0477 (fax)	
	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
18		
19	VANOVERBEKE MICHAUD	
20	& TIMMONY, P.C. MICHAEL J. VANOVERBEKE	
21	THOMAS C. MICHAUD 79 Alfred Street	
22	Detroit, MI 48201 Telephone: 313/578-1200	
23	313/578-1201 (fax)	
24	Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs	
25	C:\DOCUME~1\yvetteg\LOCALS~1\Temp\MetaSave\[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS.doc	
26		
27		
28		
۵		